^{9th} International Winter Arrhythmia School Collingwood - February 12, 2012

The Role of Warfarin in the Era of New Oral Anticoagulants

Bill Geerts, MD, FRCPC Thromboembolism Specialist, Sunnybrook HSC Professor of Medicine, University of Toronto National Lead, VTE Prevention, *Safer Healthcare Now!*







Outline: Warfarin vs New Oral Anticoagulants

- Some thoughts about the new oral anticoagulants – impact of care on outcomes
- Lab monitoring
- Bleeding and emergency reversal
- Selecting an oral anticoagulant

Approved in Canada Today

	apixaban	dabigatran	rivaroxaban
Orthopedic prophylaxis			#
Stroke prevention in AF	Not yet		
VTE treatment	No	No	No
ACS	No	No	No
Other indications	No	No	No

Med/surg thromboprophylaxis Mechanical heart valves Cancer, pregnancy

ODB supported

Property	dabigatran	rivaroxaban	apixaban
Target	Thrombin	Factor Xa	Factor Xa
Bioavailability	<6.5% (+ variable)	~90%	~66%
P-gp interaction	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time to peak	1-2 hrs	2-4 hrs	1-2 hrs
Half-life	12-17 hrs	9-12 hrs	8-15 hrs
Plasma prot binding	33%	90%	87%
Dosing	Twice daily	Once daily	Twice daily
Hepatic metabolism	Very little	33% (CYP3A4, 2J2)	75% (CYP3A4)
Renal elimination	<u>≥80%</u>	33% active	25%
Specific antidote	No	No	No

INR Control and Dabigatran in RE-LY



Wallentin – Lancet 2010;376:975

Warfarin vs Dabigatran & TTR

Event	Warfarin (n=6,022)	Warfarin Q4 TTR <53%	Warfarin Q1-2 TTR >67%	Dabig 110 mg (n=6,015)	Dabig 150 mg (n=6,076)
Stroke + SE	1.7%/yr	2.2%/yr	1.3%/yr	1.5%/yr	1.1%/yr
Major bleed	3.4%/yr	4.6%/yr	2.7%/yr	2.7%/yr	3.1%/yr
Composite	7.6%/yr	11.9%/yr	5.3%/yr	7.1%/yr	6.9%/yr

Patients on warfarin with TTR >67% did at least as well as those on dabigatran

Wallentin – Lancet 2010;376:975

Effect of *Region* on Efficacy

18,113 patients

Region	Warfarin	Dabi 110 mg	Dabi 150 mg
AII	1.7%/yr	1.5%/yr	1.1%/yr
	1	Γ	Γ
N America	1.5%/yr	1.2%/yr	1.1%/yr
S America	1.7%/yr	1.8%/yr	0.9%/yr
W Europe	1.4%/yr	1.5%/yr	1.3%/yr
E Europe	1.1%/yr	1.2%/yr	0.8%/yr
S Asia	4.0%/yr	3.4%/yr	0.8%/yr

Connolly - NEJM 2009;361:1139

Outcomes and Region (Rivaroxaban)

Region	Efficacy		Major bleeding	
	Rivaroxaban	Warfarin	Rivaroxaban	Warfarin
All	3.8%	4.3%	2.7%	3.4%
	1	I	1	
N America	3.5%	3.7%	1.5%	2.7%
L America	3.9%	4.8%	3.5%	3.9%
W Europe	3.8%	4.1%	2.7%	3.2%
E Europe	3.7%	4.2%	2.9%	3.4%
Asian Pac	4.3%	5.1%	2.9%	4.3%

Patel - NEJM 2011;365:883

Country Strongest Predictor of TTR Regression Model in ROCKET AF



Ejection fraction is imputed at the median of non-missing values. TTR was transformed to the 1.5 power to improve the model fitting

apixaban vs warfarin in AF trial (ARISTOTLE)

	18,201	patients	with	AF
--	--------	----------	------	----

What does this mean?

Center TTR	Stroke + systemic embolism	Death	Stroke + Syst emb + death + PE + MI
<58.0%	1.8%/yr	4.0%/yr	5.3%/yr
58-65%	1.3%/yr	3.7%/yr	5.1%/yr
65-72%	1.2%/yr	3.4%/yr	4.8%/yr
>72%	0.8%/yr	3.0%/yr	4.2%/yr

apixab	apixaban vs warfarin in AF trial				
(ARISTOTLE) These were the apixaban patients with AF					
Center TTR	Stroke + systemic embolism	Death	Stroke + Syst emb + death + PE + MI		
<58.0%	1.8%/yr	4.0%/yr	5.3%/yr		
58-65%	1.3%/yr	3.7%/yr	5.1%/yr		
65-72%	1.2%/yr	3.4%/yr	4.8%/yr		
>72%	0.8%/yr	3.0%/yr	4.2%/yr		

Care of the patient is very, very important!

Outcomes and Region (apixaban)

Region	Stroke + syst emb		Major bleeding	
	Apixaban	Warfarin	Apixaban	Warfarin
All	1.3%/yr	1.6%/yr	2.1%/yr	3.1%/yr
N America	1.0%/yr	1.3%/yr	2.8%/yr	3.6%/yr
L America	1.4%/yr	1.8%/yr	2.1%/yr	3.5%/yr
Europe	1.1%/yr	1.1%/yr	1.7%/yr	2.2%/yr
Asian Pacific	2.0%/yr	3.1%/yr	2.1%/yr	4.1%/yr

Granger – NEJM 2011;365:981

New OACs: Advantages

- Rapid <u>onset</u> of action
 - → Eliminates need for IV/SC anticoagulant in treatment
- Less intra- and inter-individual variability than VKA
 - → Fixed dose (or limited number of doses)
- Relatively rapid <u>offset</u> of action
 - → May simplify pre-procedure reversal
- No routine lab monitoring
 - → More convenient for physicians and patients
- ♦ Potential for greater use in AF → ?fewer strokes

New OACs: *Limitations of Trials*

- Selected patients:
 - low \rightarrow usual TE risk
 - low \rightarrow usual bleeding risk
- Careful follow-up
- Compliance data not reported BUT compliance likely greater than expected in routine practice
- Non North American care

✤ NOT THE REAL WORLD

New OACs: *Disadvantages/Concerns*

- Little real world data Phase III trials are a good start (patients excluded, non-North American, trial conditions)
- Renal clearance (dabi >> riva > apix)
- Compliance overwhelmingly likely lower than warfarin (and lower than in RCTs) → loss of protection
- No proven reversal agent
- Greater cost
- ◆ Lack of "respect" for TE conditions and anticoagulant
 → management errors
- Temptation to use off-label (hip fracture, mech valves)
- Medical-legal hazards

RCT of Anticoagulation in Ablation

- Radiofrequency ablation
- Warfarin not interrupted
- Dabigatran held the morning of the procedure and restarted 3 hrs after hemostasis

	Warfarin (n=145)	Dabigatran (n=145)	p
TE	0	3 (2.1%)	0.25
Major bleeding	1%	6%	0.019
All bleeding	6%	14%	0.031
TE + bleeding	6%	16%	0.009

Lakkireddy – JACC 2012;59:



- > 505 hemorrhages (warfarin 176)
- > 120 deaths
- > 120 hemorrhagic strokes
- 543 hospitalizations

"We believe FDA and the manufacturer should reevaluate dosing in the elderly or those with moderate renal impairment to determine optimal dosing and monitoring requirements."

New OACs: Uncertainties

- Uncertainties about: bioavailability, drug interactions, extremes of weight/age, effect of renal dysfunction, effect of hepatic dysfunction
- Uncertainties about patient selection: cancer, pregnancy, massive VTE, mechanical heart valves, etc
- Is a single dose for all too simplistic?
- How to manage recurrent thrombosis and bleeding
- Who to monitor, when and how?
- Peri-procedure use
- Long-term complications
- *** NET SOCIETAL BENEFIT**



Laboratory Monitoring of New Oral Anticoagulants

apixaban (Eliquis[®])
 dabigatran (Pradax[®])
 rivaroxaban (Xeralto[®])

Lab Monitoring is Sometimes Necessary

- Bleeding event
- High risk for bleeding
- Acute thromboembolic event
- Pre-procedure safety elective, urgent
- Extremes of weight is the dose appropriate?
- Renal dysfunction
- Potential drug interactions
- Adherence check, education tool
- Suspected overdose

Problems with *Monitoring* New Oral Anticoagulants

- **1.No validated tests**
- 2.Each drug has unique effect on clotting tests

24

- 3. Generally poor correlation between drug levels and test results
- 4. Reagent analyzer variability
- **5. Timing of test is critical**
- 6. Target ranges not established

Laboratory Monitoring

Drug	Lab monitoring	
dabigatran	aPTT (poor at supratherapeutic doses)	
	ECT	
	Hemoclot – linear relation	
	TT (Too sensitive - is <u>any</u> drug present?)	
rivaroxaban	PT (INR) (riva-specific ISI)	
	AXa with specific riva calibrator	
apixaban	PT (INR) (?apix-specific ISI)	
	AXa with specific apix calibrator	

At high concentrations, all of the new OAC prolong both the PT and aPTT

Laboratory Monitoring New OAC

Assessment of "reversal"

dabigatran	aPTT
rivaroxaban	ΡΤ

Monitoring of blood level

dabigatran	Hemoclot test
Factor Xa	Anti-Xa
inhibitors	

Bleeding and Emergency Reversal of a New OAC

apixaban (Eliquis[®])
 dabigatran (Pradax[®])
 rivaroxaban (Xeralto[®])

Management of *Bleeding* on New Oral Anticoagulants

No specific antidotes for any (yet)

Reversal with PCC



 dabigatran 150 mg PO BID or rivaroxaban 20 mg QD x 2¹/₂ days in 12 healthy volunteers



Eerenberg – Circulation 2011

Management of *Bleeding* in Patients Receiving a New Anticoagulant

Always:

- Assess the source and severity of bleeding
- Assess coagulation aPTT, PT, platelets
- Implement mechanical hemostasis if possible packing, clipping, embolization, surgery

Don' t use:

Plasma, cryo unless factor deficiency too

Consider:

Tranexamic acid



- If really desperate: hi dose PCC, FEIBA
- Removing the anticoagulant hemodialysis (?D only)



*DO NOT TRANSFUSE plasma or cryo to reverse ↑ aPTT

HEALTH SCIENCES CENTRE

Selecting an Oral Anticoagulant 1

Setting	Anticoagulant consideration
Good-excellent warfarin control (TTR <u>></u> 65%)	Warfarin
Below average warfarin control (TTR <65%)	?? Not specifically studied
Severe renal dysfunction	Warfarin
Mechanical heart valve	Warfarin
Age >75	Warfarin, ? new OAC (riva)
Poor compliance	Warfarin

Selecting an Oral Anticoagulant 2

Setting	Anticoagulant consideration
High risk of IC bleeding	?? (lower dose new OAC, LMWH)
High risk of extracranial bleeding	Warfarin or LMWH
Compliant, healthy patients <70	Warf, dabi, riva
Cost a concern	Warfarin